

212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-8290-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15th March, 2023

Omwati and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms.Nidhi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for
Mr. D.K. Singal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in spite of her best efforts, she is not able to get instructions from the clients to pursue the petition.

Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Needless to say that the petitioners would be at liberty to move on application for revival of the prayer, in case cause of action survives.

Since the main case has been dismissed for non-prosecution, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

15th March, 2023

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No