

254

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6369-2021
Date of decision: 20.09.2023

RAJNISH SAHI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Aditya Dassaur, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner is seeking to quash the FIR bearing No.35 dated 20.06.2020, under Section 498-A IPC and offence under Section 406 IPC added later on, registered at Women Police Station, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar on the basis of compromise.
2. In terms of order dated 11.02.2021, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 09.03.2021 or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get the statements recorded regarding the compromise.
3. As per the report received from the learned trial Court, on the receipt of the aforesaid order, notices were issued to the parties for 09.03.2021 to appear in person and get the statements recorded. Neither notices were served nor the parties appeared in person. It has been further submitted that again notice was issued to the accused through the Investigating Officer but none has appeared in the Court to get the statements recorded.

CRM-M-6369-2021

-2-

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has no instructions in the matter and even, he is unable to assign any reason for the non-appearance of the parties despite specific directions by this Court to get the statements recorded.

5. In these set of circumstances, the present petition is dismissed.

20.09.2023

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No