

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

258

**Civil Revision No. 8115 of 2015 (O & M)
Date of decision :-03.03.2023**

Ajit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Board of Trustees and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Jain, Advocate
For the respondent/landlord.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

CR-8115-2015

It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondent/landlord that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the respondents have taken the possession of the demised premises, as evident from the certified copy of the order dated 06.4.2019, passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Patiala, in case Exe.254/2014. The same is taken on record as 'Mark-A'.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

CM-14081-CII-2017

Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent submits that in view of the aforementioned development, he does not press the

present application filed under Order 20 Rule 12 read with Section 151 CPC seeking mesne profit.

The application is dismissed as not pressed at this stage.

March 03, 2023

Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No