

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206(2)

DECIDED ON: 17th February, 2023

(1) Arb. No. 85 of 2021 (O&M)

M/s Saggi and Company through its Partner

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Union of India and another

.....RESPONDENTS

(2) Arb. No. 87 of 2021 (O&M)

M/s Saggi and Company through its Partner

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Union of India and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate, Sr. Panel Counsel for UOI and
Mr. Karminder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

These petitions under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short, 'the Act') are filed for appointment of an arbitrator for adjudication of disputes between the parties.

The petitioner was allotted tender for "CTR(P) Work (Manual) 11.67 KM in RWL-RKSH Section from Kilometer 0.00 to 11.67 in the Section of SSE/P-way-DDN under ADEN Rurki".

Learned counsel for respondents submit that this Court has no jurisdiction as the agreement entered, work to be executed was at U.P. and office of respondents is in U.P.

Reliance is placed upon the amended Section 2(1)(e) of the Act.

Form the pleadings, there is nothing to show that cause of action arose in State of Punjab, Haryana and Union Territoty, Chandigarh.

The petitions are dismissed for want of jurisdiction with liberty to petitioner to approach the competent Court.

Since the main case has been disposed of, pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

17th February, 2023

reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>