

2023:PHHC:160803
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

218

COCP-392-2020

Date of decision: 13.12.2023

PALARAM AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

ASHOK KUMAR SHARMA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Balbir Kumar Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Vikas Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 22.07.2019 passed in CWP-6264-2017.

2. Counsel for the State submits that pursuant to the order dated 22.07.2019, necessary order has already been passed by respondent No.5 wherein the petitioners were not found entitled to the benefits claimed by them. Hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

4. However, if the petitioners are having still any grievance left unaddressed, they will be at liberty to avail any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

13.12.2023

parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE