

233

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-7376-2017

Date of Decision: 22.09.2023

Manjit Kaur @ Baljit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Parveen Kumar Gupta

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: None.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (Oral)

Despite the matter being passed over twice, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. The perusal of file reveals that earlier also on 01.02.2023 and 28.02.2023, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. On 01.02.2023, learned counsel for respondent submitted that matter has been settled between the parties. However, none has put appearance on behalf of petitioner to verify the said fact. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present civil revision.

Therefore, the present civil revision filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside impugned order dated 22.09.2017 passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar stands dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

22.09.2023

lalit

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No