

210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4479-2023

Date of Decision: 22.05.2023

HARMEET KAUR
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB

... PETITIONER
... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG Punjab.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. On 06.02.2023, following order was passed:

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in the case bearing FIR No.22 dated 30.07.2022 under Sections 306 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Narot Jaimal Singh, District Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is mother-in-law of the deceased. As per the allegations in the FIR, the deceased had consumed poisonous substance on account of harassment extended to her by the petitioner and her other family members for not giving birth to a child. At the earlier instance, a petition bearing CRM-M-43725-2022 for anticipatory bail was dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the order dated 11.10.2022. Subsequently, report of Chemical Examiner, Annexure P-2, has been received which indicates that no poisonous substance has been detected. As per the report of Medical Officer, Annexure P-3, the cause of death has been stated to be Myocardial infarction due to occlusion of coronary arteries which is sufficient to cause death in ordinary course of nature. The husband of the deceased i.e. Ranjit Singh has been granted interim bail in terms of the order dated 17.01.2023 passed in CRM- M-2338-2023.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 22.05.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of

the interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surinder Kumar, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and further submitted that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

4. Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 06.02.2023 is made absolute.

22.05.2023

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No