

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COC-300-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.05.2023

Karamjit Singh Sahi and Another

....Petitioners

Versus

Vinod P Kavle

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Pardeep Virk, Advocate
for Mr. K.D. Sehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. L.S. Virk, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

The petitioners alleges violation of the order dated 30.09.2019 passed in CWP No.28252 of 2019, vide which the following directions were issued:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are entitled to Type 10(old)/ IV(new) house and they have a case in parity with the Associate Professors working in the Government Art and Science Colleges run by Chandigarh Administration. He further submits that his clients would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to the competent authority, U.T. Administration, to take a final decision on the representations dated 29.07.2019/30.07.2019 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The decision be conveyed to the petitioners.”

Counsel for the respondent has placed on record a communication dated 28.04.2021 along with a publication dated

27.04.2021, issued by the Chandigarh Administration, amending the rules, which is taken on record as Mark A.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

02.05.2023
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No