

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

102+241

CM No.26202-CII of 2019 in/and
CR No.7162 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.02.2023

Bahadur Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

**Punjab Schedule Castes Land Development and Financial
Corporation and others**

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

**Mr. Gautam Thapar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.**

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM No.26202-CII of 2019

Application is allowed.

Document is taken on record.

CR No.7162 of 2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that there is already an undertaking given by the petitioner before the Courts below where the petitioner himself has mentioned that the petition filed for initiating contempt proceedings be treated as a petition under Order 21 Rule 32 CPC and it is also a conceded position that under the same provision of law, another application had already been filed, which was

pending and the impugned order dated 08.12.2017 has been passed by the Court regarding the second application on the ground that two applications for the same cause of action cannot be permitted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to dispute the said fact and has not been able to point out any provision under which that two applications for the same relief can be filed at the same time.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out for any interference in the impugned order dated 08.12.2017 (Annexure P-3).

Dismissed.

February 16, 2023
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*