

2023:PHHC:102998
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

272

CRM-18217-2023 in/and
CRM-M-5902-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08th August, 2023

Shri Gaurav Raghuvanshi	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Raghuvanshi, Advocate and
Mr. Sachin, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Rohan Wadhwa, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent No.7
in CRM-18217-2023.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

CRM-18217-2023

Prayer in the present application is for impleadment of Col Sandeep Sharma S/o Subhash Chandra Sharma, resident of Tubewell Brahman Mohalla, Talwana Alwar, Rajashtan as respondent No. 7 in the main petition (CRM-M-5902-2023).

Notice in the application.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana, accept notice on behalf of respondent-State. He does not raise any serious objection, if the prayer made in the application is allowed.

In view of the averments mentioned in the present application, same is allowed. Consequently, Sandeep Sharma S/o Subhash Chandra Sharma, resident of Tubewell Brahman Mohalla, Talwana Alwar, Rajashtan is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No. 7 in the array of respondents.

CRM-18217-2023 in/and CRM-M-5902-2023 (O&M) -2-
2023:PHHC:102998

Accordingly, amended memo of parties is taken on record.
Registry is directed to do the needful.

Application stands disposed of.

Main Case

1. Through present petition, petitioner seeks for setting aside of the impugned direction/letter No 1/221/GGM/SPCA/2022/3264 dated 09.11.2022 issued by respondent No. 2.

2. Short reply dated 21.04.2023 filed by learned State counsel is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel relies upon paragraph No. 9 of the said reply, which is quoted here-in-below:

“9. That on receipt of the report of Commissioner of Police, Gurugram vide No. 253/RDR dated 05.01.2023, the matter was re-considered by the SPCA and orders issued on dated 21.10.2022 have been withdrawn vide order dated 07.02.2023. True typed copy of order dated 07.02.2023 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-2.”

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, State counsel and counsel for newly added respondent No. 7.

5. In view of paragraph No. 9 of the said reply, no further interference is called for. Accordingly, present petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

6. Since the main case has been disposed of as infructuous, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

08th August, 2023

Riya

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No