

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRWP-813-2023

Decided on : 30.01.2023

Sandeep Kaur and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Tanisha, Advocate for
Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. J. S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.1 to 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Sandeep Kaur, aged about 25 years and petitioner No.2 – Jaswant Singh, aged 27 years, have solemnized marriage on 23.01.2023, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondent No.4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that it is the first marriage of both the petitioners. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 23.01.2023 (Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to to respondent No.2 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, to look into the representation dated 23.01.2023 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 30, 2023

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No

**230 IOIN-CRWP-813-2023 IN
CRWP-813-2023**

**SANDEEP KAUR AND ANOTHER
Vs.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

The present petition was disposed of vide order dated 30.01.2023. It has been reported by the office that inadvertently the number of the present petition has been mentioned as CRWP-815-2023 (on 1st & 2nd pages), instead of CRWP-813-2023. The mistake appears to be typographical and inadvertent. Accordingly, the number of present petition, at all the places, wherever, it occurs in the order dated 30.01.2023, be read as CRWP-813-2023.

After carrying out necessary correction in the order dated 30.01.2023, passed in CRWP-813-2023, and by also appending this order at the bottom, the same be uploaded on the webportal of this Court.

IOIN stands disposed of in the above terms.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

January 31, 2023
J.Ram