

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7449-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.01.2023

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Iqbal Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

There has not been any representation on behalf of the petitioner for the last two successive dates of hearing.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that as per his instructions, the possession of the shop in question has since been taken by the respondent on the basis of compromise, therefore, the revision petition has become infructuous.

Although, vide order dt. 11.12.2019, it was observed that this revision petition is to be heard along with CR-7428-2016, however, keeping in view the fact that nobody is appearing for the petitioner for the last two successive dates of hearing and in view of the statement made by counsel for the respondent, no useful purpose is going to be served by adjourning the revision petition further. Therefore, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

11.01.2023

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No