

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(213)

CWP-2264-2019

Date of decision: - 29.09.2023

Abhinav

....Petitioner

Versus

CBSE and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 22.02.2018 (Annexure P-13), vide which respondent No.2 declined to entertain the application for correction of the name of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1 and 2 has submitted that the necessary correction has been done, thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above, has submitted that the present writ petition be disposed of as having been

rendered infructuous. It is further submitted that one certificate i.e. passing certificate has not been given by the respondent-Board to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1 and 2 has submitted that the said document would be supplied to the petitioner within a period of three weeks from today.

5. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

September 29, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No