

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228-B

CR-6996-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.10.2023

Pawan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Harpreet Kaur

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (oral)

1. In the present civil revision petition challenge is to the judgment dated 08.09.2014 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Ludhiana, vide which application under Section 18-A (4) of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 seeking leave to contest the petition under Section 13-B of the aforesaid Act filed at the instance of the petitioner was dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instruction submits that the petitioner has purchased the property and present petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. Dismissed being infructuous.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed off.

11.10.2023

Mangal Singh

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking / Reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No