

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228-A

CR-6995-2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.10.2023

Mathura Dass and another
...Petitioners

Versus

Harpreet Kaur
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (oral)

1. In the present civil revision petition challenge is to the judgment dated 08.09.2014 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Ludhiana, vide which application under Section 18-A (4) of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 seeking leave to contest the petition under Section 13-B of the aforesaid Act filed at the instance of the petitioners was dismissed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners on instruction submits that the petitioners have purchased the property and present petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Dismissed being infructuous.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed off.

11.10.2023
Mangal Singh

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking / Reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No