

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP No.1736 of 2023
Date of decision:30.01.2023

Krishan Kumar and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have approached this Court seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the 2nd Assured Career Progression (ACP) benefit and Leave Travel Concession (LTC).

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were appointed on daily wages on the post of Mali-cum-Beldar (Group-D service) and were regularized w.e.f. 01.10.2003. Counsel submits that the petitioners have been granted the benefit of 1st ACP, but they have not been released the 2nd ACP benefit, which is due to them under order dated 04.03.2014 (Annexure P-5). He submits that the petitioners are also entitled to the grant of LTC. Counsel submits that the petitioners have sent a legal notice dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-10), which is lying unattended with the respondents.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of the nature of order being passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call upon the respondents to file a return.

Having heard counsel for the parties, but without examining the claim of the petitioners, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to examine the grievance raised in the legal notice dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-10) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the petitioners are not found entitled to the benefits claimed by them, an order be passed assigning the reasons for rejection within the aforesaid period.

January 30, 2023

savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No