

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(257)

CWP-2035-2022

Date of decision: - 11.09.2023

Pooja**....Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to rectify the mistake of the marks allotted to the petitioner in the final result dated 15.12.2021 (Annexure P-5).
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that vide letter dated 20.12.2023, written by the Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula to the office of the Advocate General, Haryana, stating therein that the case of the petitioner has been recommended, thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.
3. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said fact.
4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the

present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

September 11, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No