

CR-531-2019(O&M);
CR-539-2019(O&M); and
CR-654-2019(O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1) CR-531-2019(O&M)

Sidh Peeth Asthan Trust

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Gambhir

...Respondent

(2) CR-539-2019(O&M)

Sidh Peeth Asthan Trust

...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar

...Respondent

(3) CR-654-2019(O&M)

Sidh Peeth Asthan Trust

...Petitioner

Versus

Gopal Gupta

...Respondent

Date of decision:-21.2.2023

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner in all three petitions.

Mr.Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the respondent in all three petitions.

CR-531-2019(O&M);
CR-539-2019(O&M); and
CR-654-2019(O&M)

-2-

H.S. MADAAN, J.

1. Vide this order, I shall dispose of three civil revision petitions i.e. CR-531-2019(O&M), CR-539-2019(O&M) and CR-654-2019(O&M) filed on behalf of revisionist/petitioner Sidh Peeth Asthan Trust, Ludhiana.

2. Briefly stated, facts of the case are that petitioner/landlord Sidh Peeth Asthan Trust, Ludhiana through its authorized persons had filed ejectments against respondents/tenants Rajesh Gambhir, Satish Kumar and Gopal Gupta. The respondents/tenants had put in appearance and offered a contest. During the trial, the petitioner/landlord filed applications for amendment of the petitions so as to incorporate subsequent factual events with regard to the properties acquired by it in the urban area of Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana by way of gift, sale, will etc.

3. That applications were resisted by the respondents and the same were dismissed vide separate orders dated 17.12.2018.

4. Feeling aggrieved, the petitioner/landlord has approached this Court by way of filing revision petitions, notices of which were issued to respondents/tenants, who have put in appearance through counsel.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

6. I find that the impugned orders dismissing the applications for amendment of petitions are quite detailed and well reasoned and do

CR-531-2019(O&M);
CR-539-2019(O&M); and
CR-654-2019(O&M)

-3-

not suffer from any illegality or infirmity. The petitioner/landlord sought to inform the Court with regard to the properties acquired by it during pendency of the petition but learned Rent Controller being of the view that incorporation of those facts in the petitions by way of amendment was not required for proper decision of the case and that the applications had been filed at a belated stage, had dismissed the said applications. However, while dismissing the applications vide the impugned orders certain observations with regard to conduct of the petitioner/landlord have been made and apprehension of the petitioner is that such observations may affect it adversely at the time of final disposal of the ejectment petitions.

7. But I find that such observations made were for the limited purpose of decision of the applications for amendment of the ejectment petitions and would not be taken to have any bearing on the final merits of the case.

8. Thus, the revision petitions stand dismissed.

The interim order passed by this Court on 5.2.2019 directing the trial Court/Rent Controller, Ludhiana to adjourn the matter beyond the date fixed by this Court, therefore come to an end.

Since the main revision petitions stand dismissed, the miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

21.2.2023

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No

(H.S.MADAAN)

JUDGE