

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2337-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.02.2023**

Tajinder Singh & another	Vs.	... Petitioners
India Infoline Home Finance Ltd. & another		... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.**

Present: Mr. K.R. Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioners.
...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Challenge in the instant petition is to the proceedings initiated by the respondent/creditor under the SARFAESI Act, 2002.

Having heard counsel for the petitioners, we are of the considered view that since the petitioners would have an alternate remedy under the provisions of the SARFAESI Act itself, they ought to avail of the same.

A reference in this regard may be made to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **United Bank of India Vs. Satyawati Tondon and others, 2010 (8) SCC 110.**

In view of the above and without opining on the merits of the case, writ petition is disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the petitioners to avail of their alternate remedy in accordance with law as also under the provisions of the SARFAESI Act, 2002.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

23.02.2023

harjeet

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

(ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No