

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CR-686-2023

Date of decision : 02.02.2023

Baljinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The Assistant Collector 1st Grade and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Inderpal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 13.12.2022 (Annexure P-6) whereby his application for clubbing of the partition applications (Annexures P-1 to P-3) has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that most of the parties are common in the partition applications and only there is a change of the khewat number and therefore, the petitioner had preferred an application for clubbing of the partition applications which has been dismissed by the impugned order without assigning any reasons.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun William, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1.

Mr. Satveer Singh Badal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.6 to 8 and 10.

Heard.

It is manifest from a bare look at the impugned order (Annexure P6) that no reason whatsoever has been assigned while dismissing

the application of the petitioner for clubbing of the partition applications. In the impugned order(Annexure P-6), the reasons for declining the application ought to have been set out.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and the impugned order (Annexure P-6) is set aside. Respondent No.1 is directed to consider the application preferred by the petitioner afresh and pass a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 02, 2023
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No