

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4118-2023

Date of Decision:01.02.2023

DHARAMPAL

...Petitioner

VS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

By way of instant petition, the petitioner seeks interim bail for 30 days, in order to look after his ailing wife.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that an official from the concerned Police Station had visited the house of the petitioner and as per his report, petitioner is living in a joint family, which consists of many adult members (including male members).

Learned counsel for the complainant has also argued on the verbatim lines with State counsel.

Given the fact that the petitioner has been residing in a joint family, in which there are other male/adult members as well to look after his ailing wife, no case for grant of interim bail is made out.

Petition stands dismissed.

01.02.2023

pry

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No