

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R No. 6443 of 2006 (O&M)**

**DATE OF DECISION : 10.05.2023**

**Sanjiv Kumar**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Punjab Wakf Board and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Jugansh Goyal, Advocate for  
Mr. Denesh Goyal, Advocate,  
For respondent No.1.

Mr. Amit Bansal, Advocate and  
Mr. Divyansh Vats, Advocate for  
Mr. Naresh Kumar Bansal, Advocate,  
For respondents No.2 to 7.

**ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)**

Matter was taken up for hearing on 15.03.2023, when learned counsel for respondent No-1/Board sought time to get instructions if anything survives for adjudication in instant appeal.

On resumed hearing today, learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that during the pendency of instant revision petition, property in question has been allotted to respondents No.2 to 8, who have also been handed over the possession of same. He further informs that allotment made in favour of new allottees i.e respondents No.2 to 8 has not been challenged by the petitioner. On the other hand, none appears on behalf of petitioner.

Be that as it may, in view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.1, instant revision petition seems to have rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**May 10, 2023**  
Shalini

**(ARUN MONGA)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**  
**Whether reportable : Yes/No**