

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
209

Date of Decision: 6th September, 2023

1. CRM-M-5544-2022 (O&M)

Ramandeep Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

2. CRM-M-4068-2022 (O&M)

Ajaib Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

3. CRM-M-8641-2022 (O&M)

Jasveer Singh @ Happy ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate
Mr. GPS Ghuman, Advocate and
Mr. J.S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. These petitions under Section 439 Cr.P.C. are filed seeking
regular bail in FIR mentioned below :-

FIR No.	Date	Police Station	Sections
259	17.10.2020	Sardulgarh, District Mansa	307, 323, 447, 511, 148, 149 IPC, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959

2. As per the case set up, the FIR was registered at the statement of Gagandeep Singh @ Gaggi. He alleged that on 17.10.2020 at about 3:00 PM when they were harvesting paddy crops Ajaib Singh (petitioner), Ramandeep Singh (petitioner), Gurdeep Singh, Avtar Singh, Jashandeep Singh, Nahar Singh, Manpreet Singh and Jasveer Singh (petitioner) came on cars bearing registration Nos. PB-31N-8013 and CH-03-J-0808. They were armed with fire arms and inflicted bullet injuries to Gulab Singh, Ranjit Singh, Bikram Singh, Gurbhej Singh and Pardeep.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners- Ramandeep Singh and Ajaib Singh are in custody since 17.10.2022 while the petitioner-Jasveer Singh @ Happy is in custody since 16.12.2020. It is argued that it is a case of false implication and no empties were recovered from the spot. The contention is that injured have not supported the case of the prosecution and have deposed that the petitioners were not present on the spot. They further submits that co-accused were granted bail by the Trial Court.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer and on instructions from ASI Hardev Singh fairly submits that no empties were recovered from the spot. She points out that petitioners-Ajaib Singh and Ramandeep Singh are involved in other FIRs.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case and considering that material witnesses have been examined, co-accused

were granted bail, conclusion of trial is likely to take time and no useful purpose would be served by depriving the petitioners of their personal liberty, the petitioners are granted bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

- 6. The petitions are allowed.
- 7. Since the main case has been allowed the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.
- 8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file(s) of connected case(s).

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

6th September, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No