

CRM-M-4649 of 2022 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4649 of 2023 (O & M)

Date of decision: 15.05.2023

Arjun Singh and ors.

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Samar Pratap Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arman Goyal, Advocate,
for Mr. Vijayveer Singh Dhull, Advocate,
for the respondents.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 290 dated 27.06.2021 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427 and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 23.11.2021 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 31.01.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 31.01.2023 with regard to the compromise (Annexures P-2).

In terms of the orders dated 31.01.2023 passed by this Court

CRM-M-4649 of 2022 (O & M)

::2::

Panchkula and as per the report dated 31.01.2023 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioners, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589** and this Court in **Joginder Singh & another Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739- 2010 decided on 27.04.2011, Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017, Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019 and Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRMM-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022** submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the

CRM-M-4649 of 2022 (O & M)

::3::

Keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in the
aforementioned judgments accompanied by the joint statement of both the
parties and the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, the
present FIR No.290 dated 27.06.2021 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427
and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula alongwith all
the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the
petitioners only.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

May 15, 2023
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No