

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-6235 of 2017 (O&M)
Reserved on: 11.08.2023
Pronounced on: 27.09.2023

M/s Parkash Jewellers

.....Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Argued by: Mr. Rajveer Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Harsh Kinra, Advocate, for the respondent.

NAMIT KUMAR, J.

1. The instant revision petition has been preferred by the petitioner-tenant under Article 227 of the Constitution of India impugning the order dated 26.05.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by Rent Controller, Jalandhar, whereby application filed by the petitioner under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC read with Section 151 CPC for amendment of written statement has been dismissed.

2. In view of the order of even date passed in connected ***CR-2420 of 2022 – Paramjit Kaur v. M/s Parkash Jewellers*** – whereby petitioner-tenant M/s Parkash Jewellers has been directed to handover the vacant possession of the demised property within three months from the date of passing of order, no further orders are required to be passed in present petition.

3. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

27.09.2023
R.S.

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No