

²³⁸IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-6272-2014 (O&M)
Decided on:-09.08.2023

Birender Kumar

....Petitioner....

VS.

Vijay Kumar Mangla and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate,
for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present revision petition, challenge has been laid to an order dated 27.02.2012 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Palwal and order dated 17.05.2014 passed by the Appellate Authority, Palwal, whereby, eviction order was passed against the present petitioner, from the demised premises.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents-landlords submits that the petitioner-tenant has vacated the premises and surrendered the possession in favour of respondents-landlords, thereby, rendering the present petition as infructuous.

3. Considering the aforesaid, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, in case, the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondents-landlords is found to be

factually incorrect, the petitioner shall be at liberty to seek revival of the present revision petition by moving appropriate application.

09.08.2023
sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

²³⁸IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-6272-2014 (O&M)
Decided on:-09.08.2023

Birender Kumar

....Petitioner....

VS.

Vijay Kumar Mangla and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate,
for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present revision petition, challenge has been laid to an order dated 27.02.2012 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Palwal and order dated 17.05.2014 passed by the Appellate Authority, Palwal, whereby, eviction order was passed against the present petitioner, from the demised premises.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents-landlords submits that the petitioner-tenant has vacated the premises and surrendered the possession in favour of respondents-landlords, thereby, rendering the present petition as infructuous.

3. Considering the aforesaid, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, in case, the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondents-landlords is found to be

factually incorrect, the petitioner shall be at liberty to seek revival of the present revision petition by moving appropriate application.

09.08.2023
sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE