

247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1866-2022

Date of decision: 15.11.2023

Salman Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ajit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Chander Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent authorities to grant 5 marks of Socio-Economic Criteria to the petitioner as per the condition (a) (i) of the Advertisement No.4/2020 dated 30.12.2012 published by respondent No.3.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner would give a representation to respondent No.3 and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.3 is directed to consider the same in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by

the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.3 would consider the representation as and when filed by the petitioner in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.3 to consider the representation as and when filed by the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.3 would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

15.11.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No