

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(289)

CR-5810-2018

Date of Decision : 24.04.2023

Sanjay Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sidh Pith Shri Hanuman Mandir, Shri Sanatan Dharam Mahavir Dal
(Regd.) and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amandeep Vashisth, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the objection, which is being raised by the learned counsel for the respondents that on earlier occasion the application filed under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC was dismissed vide order dated 31.08.2017, which order was never challenged, hence, the second application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC was not maintainable, though the same has also been dismissed, the petitioners be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy, in case available qua the order dated 31.08.2017.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as prayed for.

April 24, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No