

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M-3931-2023
Date of Decision: 25.01.2023

Gurmukh Singh @ Mokhi Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail on the grounds that only Kulhadi (Axe) was attributed to him and only one injury has been caused by him.

Given the criminal history mentioned in para 7, there are 8 more cases pending against the petitioner.

Given above, nature of allegations which are serious and antecedents of petitioner which reflects that petitioner is involved in eight cases of similar nature offences, he is not entitled to anticipatory bail and the present petition is dismissed.

It is clarified that this Court is declining bail to the petitioner mainly because of the criminal antecedents and it shall have no bearing regarding the bail filed by the other accused.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

25.01.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No