

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

253

CWP-1550-2023 (O&M).

Date of Decision: 16.10.2023.

RAMESH CHANDER

.. Petitioner

Versus

**HARYANA STATE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY AND
OTHERS**

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate, with
Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the instant petition is for seeking quashing of letter dated 30.06.2022 (Annexure P-1) whereby the name of the petitioner has not been approved as panel lawyer with the District Legal Services Authority, Panipat.

Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate, enters appearance and files her power of attorney on behalf of the respondents. She submits that name of the petitioner has now been shown in the list of pro bono lawyers with the District Legal Services Authority and that the petitioner has already submitted his consent on 06.09.2022.

Apparently, the aforesaid fact has not been disclosed in the present writ petition filed by the petitioner.

Be that as it may, since the petitioner has been shown in the list prepared by the District Legal Services Authority, Panipat, as a pro bono lawyer, no further grievance survives in the present writ petition. The same is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

October 16, 2023

raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

: Yes/No

: Yes/No