

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4044-2023
DECIDED ON: 02.02.2023**

RANJIT SINGH @ RANA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition seeking regular bail in FIR No.299, dated 08.11.2022, under Sections 307, 148, 149 of IPC, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Cantonment, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no role has been attributed to the petitioner in the injuries so suffered by the complainant. It is in fact pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner that 10 persons were alleged to have attacked the complainant but the complainant escaped having suffered no single injury according to the version narrated in the FIR.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and on instructions from ASI Gurmeet Singh states that there is a dispute to the effect that no injury was caused to the complainant whereas the petitioner was armed with datar.

Looking into the totality of facts as narrated in the FIR and the

submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner no injury has been caused to the complainant and by no stretch of imagination it can be believed that 10 persons were armed with weapons.

In light of the aforesaid facts that, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The present petition is, hereby, allowed.

02.02.2023

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>