

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**229-CRM-M-3822-2023**

**Date of Decision: 30.01.2023**

\*\*\*\*

Tanveer Singh @ Tanu

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. AG Punjab

\*\*\*\*

**Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.0237 dated 31.07.2022 under Sections 379-B/34 IPC, registered at Police Station B-Division, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that challan in the present case has been presented and the parties have entered into compromise, particularly, referring to para 6 of the petition, to which effect an affidavit dated 04.11.2022 has also been attached as Annexure P3. The charges are yet to be framed and there are a total of 14 witnesses cited in the challan.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. AG Punjab accepts notice and has filed custody certificate dated 27.01.2023, which is taken on record.

A perusal of the said custody certificate reflects that the petitioner is behind the bars for the last 4 months 21 days and is not a habitual offender.

Since the petitioner has already entered into a compromise which would culminate into acquittal of the petitioner in the light thereof, added with the fact that the other co-accused Money who is a juvenile and whose case is undergoing before the Juvenile Court and the petitioner, both were implicated merely on the basis of a secret information and arrested on 06.09.2022, and also considering the fact that the allegation against the petitioner is alleged snatching of the mobile phone alone and that the trial is likely to take some time, therefore, no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The present petition is, therefore, allowed.

30.01.2023

V.Vishal

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
- 2. Whether reportable?

(Sandeep Moudgil)  
Judge

Yes/No  
Yes/No