

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-140-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.04.2023

Murslin ...Petitioner

Versus

Mohammed Shayin ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. A. V. Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 29.07.2021, passed by this Court in CWP-13988-2021, vide which, it was observed that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No. 2 to decide the compliant filed by the petitioner and accordingly, a direction was issued to decide the complaint of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

Learned State counsel has placed on record a copy of speaking order passed on 18.04.2023, which is passed after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. In the speaking order, it is observed that the contract of M/s Delhi Royalty Company has been terminated on account of non-payment of government dues.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

26.04.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No