

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-1432-2023

Date of Decision : 04.07.2023

Shakti Singh Minhas

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondents to grant equivalent pay scale as has been granted to Research Assistant serving in BBMB Talwara Township.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is at par with employees serving in BBMB Talwara Township, however, the petitioner has not been granted salary at par with employees working in BBMB Talwara Township. The petitioner has already retired and he is confining his claim to 38 months. The petitioner has made various representations to the respondents to look into his grievance.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Maninder Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Pranav Chadha, Advocate filed his power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.2, which is taken on record. He submits that the

petitioner has retired wayback and he is making his claim after a quite long time, still, the competent authority would look into the representation of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order.

In view of statement of learned counsel for respondent No.2, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to pass an appropriate order within 03 months from today considering the present petition as representation of the petitioner.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.07.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>