

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRWP-651-2023

Decided on : 23.01.2023

Rano Kaur and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sushil K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that petitioners have never performed any marriage earlier ever in past and it is the first marriage of both the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Rano Kaur, aged about 18 years and petitioner No.2 – Jagga Singh, aged about 30 years, have solemnized marriage on 18.01.2023, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 11. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 18.01.2023 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. J. S. Arora, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, to look into the representation dated 18.01.2023 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 23, 2023

k.nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*