

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

2023:PHHC:129626

CR-4916-2018

Date of decision: 06.10.2023

RANJIT SINGH & OTHERS

..Petitioner

Versus

**M/S NATIONAL RICE & DALL MILLS,
RAJPURA & OTHERS**

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The petitioner herein is the defendant in a pending suit. By impugned order, the trial Court has permitted the plaintiff to make additional prayer for possession in a pending suit for grant of decree of declaration.
2. After arguing at some length, the learned counsel representing the parties have come to a consensus.
3. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that he should be granted sufficient opportunity to lead evidence.
4. On the other hand, the learned counsel representing the respondents submits that the petitioner has already been granted sufficient opportunities, however, he has no objection if two more opportunities are granted to each of the parties to lead evidence.
5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of with the request to the trial Court to grant two additional

opportunities spanning over a period of total four months to lead their further evidence. Thereafter, the trial Court will proceed to decide the matter accordingly.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 06th, 2023
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*