

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3499-2020(O&M)

Date of decision :24.08.2023

NARESH KUMAR GARG

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.5 and has filed his Vakalatnama. The same is taken on record.

The learned State counsel contends that initially a cancellation report had been prepared by the Sonapat Police. The complainant/petitioner had filed a representation with the Home Minister which led to the ordering of a further investigation. The investigation was transferred from Sonapat to Rohtak. However, it has been re-transferred to Sonapat.

In view of the above, the Commissioner of Police, Sonapat is directed to look into the investigation in FIR No.0189 dated 06.06.2019 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 506 IPC Police Station Kundali, District Sonapat and take appropriate in action accordance with law against private respondent Nos.5 to 11 and/ or other accused. The investigation be concluded within a reasonable period of time and an appropriate report in that regard be submitted to the Court.

Disposed of.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

24.08.2023

JITESH

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**