

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM-692-LPA-2023 in/and LPA-240-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 12.04.2023

Naveen Kumar

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

CM-692-LPA-2023

For the reasons set out in the application, duly supported by
an affidavit, delay of 116 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application is, accordingly, allowed.

LPA-240-2022

On the previous date of hearing, learned counsel for the
appellant was granted time to examine the decision rendered by this
Court in LPA-811-2022 (Ravinder Yadav Versus Haryana Vidyut
Parsaran Nigam Limited and others).

Today, at the outset, he fairly concedes that appeal
(LPA-811-2022) filed by Ravinder Yadav, arising from the same order
and judgment dated 23.08.2022, has already been dismissed by this Court

on 19.09.2022. We are also informed that even the Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 20820/2022 filed against the decision in LPA-811-2022 (supra) has also been dismissed by the Supreme Court on 28.11.2022. We may point out that vide impugned judgment, the learned Single Judge had dismissed two petitions, one preferred by the appellant and the other filed by Ravinder Yadav (supra). It is not disputed that both the petitions involved identical issues. No additional ground is raised or urged.

In the given circumstances, the appeal is dismissed in terms of the decision of this Court rendered in LPA-811-2022.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

April 12, 2023
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No