

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

252

CRM-M-3894-2021

Date of Decision : 09.05.2023

Sikander Singh @ Chawdhari and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present : Mr. Kashmir Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, Senior D.A.G., Punjab  
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Gourav Nagar, Advocate for  
Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.278 dated 27.08.2020 registered under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sohana, SAS Nagar (Mohali) **(Annexure P-1)** and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 15.10.2020 **(Annexure P-2)** effected between the private parties.

2. Pursuant to order dated 08.04.2021 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court and order dated 09.11.2022 passed by this Court, the parties had appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, SAS Nagar to get their statements recorded. Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, SAS Nagar submitted

his report along with copies of statements of the parties vide letter dated 17.02.2023 through learned District and Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar which is taken on record.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the relevant record.

4. It is now well settled that the High Court has inherent power to quash the criminal proceedings in non-compoundable cases on the basis of settlement between the parties for securing the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process where the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of the criminal case would put the accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal case. Criminal cases having overwhelmingly and predominantly civil character particularly those arising out of commercial transaction or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family dispute can be quashed when the parties have resolved their entire dispute among themselves. However, such power cannot be exercised in those prosecutions which involve heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape dacoity, etc. which are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society. Similarly, prosecution for offences alleged to have been committed under special enactments like the Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servant while working in that capacity cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise between the victim and the offender. For judicial precedents in this

CRM-M-3894-2021

3

regard, reference may be made to *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another : 2012(4) RCR Criminal) 543, Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab (Supreme Court) : 2014 (2) RCR (Criminal) 482, State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others (Supreme Court) : 2019 (2) RCR (Criminal) 255 and Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (Punjab and Haryana High Court) : 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052.*

5. According to the report, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, SAS Nagar is satisfied that compromise effected between the parties is genuine, outcome of free consent of the parties and is without coercion from any quarter.

6. Considering the report dated 17.02.2023 of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, SAS Nagar and the fact that the compromise will bring peace and harmony between the parties, aforesaid FIR No.278 dated 27.08.2020 (**Annexure P-1**) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua the petitioner only.

7. Disposed of, accordingly.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)  
JUDGE

09.05.2023

Kothiyal

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No