

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122

CR-435-2023

Date of Decision :20.01.2023

Chanchal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Nachattar Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surinder Kapoor, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the signatures of Sukhdev Singh respondent-defendant No.2 on the agreement to sell, for which, an application was moved for the comparison of the same has been decided by the trial Court with the observation that as the agreement to sell bears Punjabi as well as English signatures of Sukhdev Singh on page-1, the signatures in English can be compared with the signatures of Sukhdev Singh on the testimony, which he has already given hence, there is no need for Sukhdev Singh to come and give another specimen signatures in Punjabi as well so as to compare the same with the one appended on the agreement to sell.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the present petition has been filed but the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further but with liberty to the petitioner that in case report of the handwriting expert does not show any conclusion on the

basis of the English signatures, the claim of the petitioner be kept open for obtaining the signatures of Sukhdev Singh in Punjabi as well.

Keeping in view the same, present petition is disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

January 20, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No