

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3234-2023
Date of Decision: 06.02.2023

RahulPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gurnoor Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The State has placed on record instructions, in which there is reference of 90 calls between the petitioner and the main accused. However, the petitioner's counsel submits that there was a time gap between the last call and the date of alleged recovery.

Counsel has further mentioned the reasons for such call, however, such reasons are not mentioned in the petition. At this stage, the petitioner's counsel seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file afresh by removing the deficiencies and to overcome the rider of Section 37 of NDPS Act.

Petition is disposed off as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh with better particulars.

06.02.2023 (ANOOP CHITKARA)
ps-I JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no