

(105) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3226-2023
Date of Decision:20.01.2023
... Petitioner

Vishal

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present : Mr. S.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.84 dated 17.10.2022 registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala under Sections 307, 326, 324, 34 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was a delay of 5 days in lodging the FIR and the medico-legal report of the complainant has been obtained from a private hospital. Thus, the petitioner deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

According to the contents of the FIR, the petitioner was armed with “Datar” with which he struck the complainant on the head. As a result, he sustained serious injuries and the petitioner is accused of having committed the offence under Section 307 IPC also.

Merely contending, there is a delay in registration of the FIR and medico-legal report is from the private hospital, the injury cannot be said to be less serious. The petitioner is alleged to have committed the offence of attempt to murder and in view of the seriousness of the allegation against him, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

20.01.2023
rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No