

CRM-M-4171-2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4171-2021

Date of decision: 24.07.2023

Kirna Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Jaswinder Singh Grewal, Advcoate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and their family members and not to harass them at the instance of respondent No.4.
2. Reply dated 15.03.2021 by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Abohar, District Fazilka, filed in the Registry, is taken on record.
3. As per the contents of the aforesaid reply, an inquiry was conducted into the matter and it was found that no threat had ever been extended to the petitioners at any stage.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that time and again, the petitioner was called in the police station. He further submits that in case, the petitioners are called in the police station, they may be served upon an advance notice in terms of Section 160 Cr.P.C.

CRM-M-4171-2021

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, but without expressing any opinion of the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to ensure that in case, the petitioners are required to be associated with any inquiry or investigation or some information is to be elicited from them, then a written advance notice in terms of Section 160 Cr.P.C., be served upon them.

6. It is clarified that the aforesaid order is not to be treated to have conferred any kind of immunity upon the petitioners, in case it is found that they have committed any wrong.

24.07.2023

parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No