

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

FAO-1618-2002(O&M)

Date of Decision:08.08.2023

MUKHTIAR SINGH

....Appellant

Versus

SATPAL SINGH AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate
for the appellant.

Ms. Kirandep Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Kamaldip Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

Present appeal has been filed by appellant-Mukhtiar Singh related as father of deceased (Surjit Singh), who expired in a motor vehicle accident. In the claim petition filed by the appellant/claimant, learned Tribunal had declined to grant any amount of compensation to him. Feeling aggrieved, appellant/Mukhtiar Singh had filed the present appeal i.e. FAO No.1618 of 2002.

Learned counsel for the appellant informs the Court that appellant/Mukhtiar Singh has expired, therefore, he need not to press the prayer made in the present appeal, rather, same is rendered

infructuous.

In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as
having been rendered infructuous.

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

August 08, 2023
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no