

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRWP-548-2023

Date of Decision: July 04, 2023

Manglesh Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM:HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Sr. DAG, Haryana

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.5 to 17, who are harassing the petitioner.

2. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that they have gone to the residence of the petitioner (as mentioned in the petition) to protect him but he was not residing at the given address. They recorded the statement of the landlord-Ashok Kumar, who stated that earlier the petitioner was his tenant but now he has left the premises.

3. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be given liberty to make representation to the concerned SHO in case he feels any threat from the private respondents.

4. The prayer is genuine and not opposed.

5. Given above, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make representation to the concerned Superintendent of Police/Station House Officer, in case need so arises and the concerned officer shall take decision as per his/her own wisdom. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

July 04, 2023

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : No