

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1320-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 06.02.2023

Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State Bank of India and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Bhagyashri Setia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monita Mehta, Advocate for the respondent-Bank.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

CM-1872-CWP-2023

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexures R-1 to R-5 are taken on record.

Main case

Petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus by way of directions to respondent No.1 to release petitioner's original documents relating to title deed submitted as security against the home loan sanctioned on 01.04.2022 vide receipt dated 06.08.2019 after issuing no due certificate in his favour.

On 23.01.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2-Bank is taken on record.

Learned counsel contends that the loan amount advanced by the respondent-Bank stands cleared by the petitioner-borrower along with interest, but despite that, the title deeds submitted by the petitioner as security have not been returned.

At this stage, Ms. Monita Mehta, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the respondents-Bank prays for time to seek instructions.
On her request, adjourned to 06.02.2023. ”

Today, an application has been filed by respondent-Bank for placing on record the status of petitioner's loan account, wherein the details of the additional loan advanced to the petitioner are also mentioned.

Faced with the above response, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

06.02.2023
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No