

220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3141-2023
DECIDED ON: 27th FEBRUARY, 2023

BIKRAMJIT SINGH @ BIKKA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.14 dated 10.06.2021 under Sections 13, 17, 18, 18-B and 20 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act 1967 (Amendment 2012) Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, Sections 120-B, 489-A, 489-B, 489-C of IPC and Sections 21, 25, 27-A and 29 of NDPS Act registered at Police Station State Special Operation Cell, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and in fact it is a pure case of planting with the concoction of the story. It is asserted that the co-accused namely Lovedeep Singh @ Lala on whose disclosure statement the petitioner has been nominated as an accused in the present case, has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 11.01.2023 passed

in CRM-M-3002-2022 (Annexure P-3). He has also placed reliance upon the orders dated 11.01.2023 passed in CRM-M-46068-2021 and CRM-M-26059-2022 (Annexures P-4 and P-5 respectively) whereby, the co-accused of the petitioner namely Sukhpreet Singh @ Sukh and Paramjit Singh @ Pamma have also been granted the concession of regular bail.

Custody certificate of the petitioner filed by the counsel for the State is taken on record. According to the custody certificate the petitioner has undergone the incarceration for a period of 1 year 3 months and 23 days as of now and is not involved in any other case of any nature whatsoever.

Considering the fact that the co-accused namely Lovedeep Singh @ Lala, on whose disclosure statement the name of the petitioner has been surfaced, has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 11.01.2023 (Annexure P-3) added with the fact that conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time, this Court is of the considered view that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars

The petitioner is directed to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned

The petition stands allowed, in view of the afore-said terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

27th FEBRUARY, 2023

sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>