

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2965-2023

Date of decision :21.09.2023

JAGTAR SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Derabassi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for setting aside the order dated 22.11.2022 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar in FIR No.180 dated 16.09.2017 under Section 489-C IPC (Section 181 of M.V. Act added later on) registered at Police Station Lalru, District SAS Nagar whereby the Trial Court had cancelled the bail order granted to the petitioner as also forfeited his surety bonds to the State.

On 28.08.2023, the following order was passed:-

“The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for setting aside the order dated 22.11.2022 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar in FIR No.180 dated 16.09.2017 under Section 489-C IPC (Section 181 of M.V. Act added later on) registered at Police Station Lalru, District SAS Nagar whereby the Trial Court had cancelled the bail order granted to the petitioner as also forfeited his surety bonds to the State.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was unable to appear before the Trial Court on one date i.e. on 22.11.2022 because of

noting down of a wrong date. He contends that subsequent to the passing of the order, Annexure P-3, he has also been declared a proclaimed offender vide order dated 16.08.2023 (Annexure P-8). He states that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the proceedings once again.

Notice of motion for 21.09.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of one week from today and subject to a deposit of Rs.50,000/- as costs with the Association for Children with Type 1 Diabetes, SCO 24, Sector 23-C, Chandigarh, he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The learned counsel for the petitioner shall produce the receipt regarding deposit of Rs.50,000/- with the aforesaid Association as well as a copy of the order granting interim bail to the petitioner on the next date of hearing.”

The learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has not complied with the aforementioned order.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has no instructions in the matter as the brief has been away.

In view of the fact that the order of this Court has not been complied with, the present stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

21.09.2023
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No