

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

240

**2023:PHHC:094292
CWP-1826-2021 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 25.07.2023.**

RANJEET KAUR

..Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present Mr. I.S. Brar, Advocate, for
 Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

 Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab,
 for respondents No.1 to 4.

 Mr. Suneet Pal Singh Aulakh, Advocate,
 for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition had been filed for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to decide the representation dated 07.03.2020 (Annexure P-4) as well as representation dated 07.07.2020 (Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel for the parties are not aware regarding the status of the execution.

As per reply filed on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4, the proceedings have already been taken by the concerned Court in accordance with the order passed by the Executing Court. The relevant extract of the said reply reads as under:-

5. That the contents of para No.5 of the writ petition are wrong, hence denied. In compliance to the order of the executing court, the entry of attachment has been made in the record. Since the JD has sold the property before the attachment order and by mentioning this fact report was made to the Executing Court. The attachment Rapat was made vide Rapat No. 102 dated 22.10.2018 by the revenue officials.

6. That the contents of para no.6 of the writ petition are wrong, hence denied. The revenue authorities has complied with the order of the Hon'ble Court. After the depositing the diet money by the petitioner the JD No.6 has been send in the civil imprisonment. (Annexure R-1)

xxx xxx xxx

8. That the contents of para no.8 of the writ petition are wrong, hence denied. The revenue officials has made a rapt No.102 dt. 22.10.2018 in the jamabandi of attachment of the property. It is pertinent to mention here that JD has already transferred his property in favour of his mother by transfer deed No.2093 dated 09.10.2017. The order of the Executing Court has been fully complied with by the revenue officials.”

The above said reply had been filed on 17.03.2021 and no counter disputing the facts stated therein has been filed. Accordingly, there is no occasion for adjourning this case any further. Since the prayer made by the petitioner was only to seek issuance of directions to the respondents to implement the order passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Bathinda in Execution Petition No.367/2017 filed in MACP No.40/2014. The needful having already been done, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

July 25, 2023.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No