

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CWP-2134-2021
Date of Decision: 24.11.2023

Ramesh Kumar Aggarwal ...Petitioner

Versus

General Manager of Canara Bank and Others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Rakshit Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for respondent-bank

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 05.07.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that the respondent-bank has already sanctioned amount of gratuity which petitioner was entitled, however, the petitioner is disputing a sum of Rs.27,000/- which is a disputed question of fact.

Adjourned to 04.08.2023.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

2. Considering the amount involved, disputed question of fact and the factum that respondent has already paid gratuity as per their calculation, this Court does not find it appropriate to invoke its writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No